arXiv:1301.0857v3 [math.AG] 1 Aug 2013

# Strongly Liftable Schemes and the Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing in Positive Characteristic III *

Qihong Xie Jian Wu

Dedicated to Professor Yujiro Kawamata for his sixtieth birthday

**Abstract**

A smooth scheme $X$ over a field $k$ of positive characteristic is said to be strongly liftable over $W_2(k)$, if $X$ and all prime divisors on $X$ can be lifted simultaneously over $W_2(k)$. In this paper, we first deduce the Kummer covering trick over $W_2(k)$, which can be used to construct a large class of smooth projective varieties liftable over $W_2(k)$, and to give a direct proof of the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on strongly liftable schemes. Secondly, we generalize almost all of the results in [Xie10, Xie11] to the case where everything is considered over $W(k)$, the ring of Witt vectors of $k$.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we always work over *an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic $p>0$* unless otherwise stated. A smooth scheme $X$ is said to be strongly liftable over $W_2(k)$, if $X$ and all prime divisors on $X$ can be lifted simultaneously over $W_2(k)$. This notion was first introduced in [Xie10] to study the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem in positive characteristic, furthermore, some examples and properties of strongly liftable schemes were also given in [Xie10, Xie11].

In this paper, we shall continue to study strongly liftable schemes. First of all, we deduce the Kummer covering trick over $W_2(k)$ by means of the logarithmic techniques developed by K. Fujiwara, K. Kato and C. Nakayama. The Kummer covering trick over $W_2(k)$ can be used to construct a large class of smooth projective varieties liftable over $W_2(k)$ (see §3 for more details).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety strongly liftable over $W_2(k)$, $D$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ such that the fractional part $\langle D\rangle = \sum_{i\in I}\dfrac{a_i}{b_i}D_i$ satisfies that $0<a_i<b_i$, $(a_i,b_i)=1$ and $p\nmid b_i$ for all $i\in I$, and $\sum_{i\in I}D_i$ is simple normal crossing. Then there exists a finite Galois morphism $\tau: Y\to X$ with Galois group $G=\mathrm{Gal}(K(Y)/K(X))$, such that $\tau^*D$ is integral and $Y$ is a smooth projective variety liftable over $W_2(k)$.*

As an application, the Kummer covering trick over $W_2(k)$ gives a direct proof of the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on strongly liftable schemes.

---

*This paper was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11231003 and 11271070), and the Scientific Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars, State Education Ministry.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let $X$ be a strongly liftable smooth projective variety of dimension $d$, and $D$ an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ such that* $\operatorname{Supp}\langle D\rangle$ *is simple normal crossing. Then we have* $H^i(X, K_X + \ulcorner D \urcorner) = 0$ *for any* $i > d - \inf(d, p)$.

Secondly, we generalize almost all of the results in [Xie10, Xie11] to the case where everything is considered over $W(k)$, the ring of Witt vectors of $k$. A smooth scheme $X$ is said to be strongly liftable over $W(k)$, if $X$ and all prime divisors on $X$ can be lifted simultaneously over $W(k)$. The following is the main result in §4.

**Theorem 1.3.** *The following varieties are strongly liftable over* $W(k)$*:*

*(i)* $\mathbb{A}^n_k$, $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ *and a smooth projective curve;*

*(ii) a smooth projective variety of Picard number 1 which is a complete intersection in* $\mathbb{P}^n_k$*;*

*(iii) A smooth projective rational surface;*

*(iv) A smooth projective toric variety.*

Finally, by means of cyclic covers over toric varieties, we can obtain a large class of smooth projective varieties liftable over $W(k)$ (see Theorem 4.12 and Corollary 4.13 for more details).

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let $X$ be a smooth projective toric variety, and $\mathcal{L}$ an invertible sheaf on $X$. Let $N$ be a positive integer prime to $p$, and $D$ an effective divisor on $X$ with* $\mathcal{L}^N = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ *and* $\operatorname{Sing}(D_{\mathrm{red}}) = \emptyset$*. Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be the cyclic cover obtained by taking the $N$-th root out of $D$. Then $Y$ is a smooth projective scheme liftable over* $W(k)$*.*

In §2, we will recall some definitions and preliminary results of liftings over $W_2(k)$. The Kummer covering trick over $W_2(k)$ will be treated in §3. We will give the generalizations to $W(k)$ in §4. For the necessary notions and results in birational geometry, we refer the reader to [KMM87].

**Notation.** We use $[B] = \sum [b_i] B_i$ (resp. $\ulcorner B \urcorner = \sum \ulcorner b_i \urcorner B_i$, $\langle B \rangle = \sum \langle b_i \rangle B_i$) to denote the round-down (resp. round-up, fractional part) of a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $B = \sum b_i B_i$, where for a real number $b$, $[b] := \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \,|\, n \leq b\}$, $\ulcorner b \urcorner := -[-b]$ and $\langle b \rangle := b - [b]$. We use $\operatorname{Sing}(D_{\mathrm{red}})$ (resp. $\operatorname{Supp}(D)$) to denote the singular locus of the reduced part (resp. the support) of a divisor $D$. We use $K(X)$ to denote the field of rational functions of an integral scheme $X$.

**Acknowledgments.** We are deeply indebted to Professor Luc Illusie for pointing out a mistake in an earlier version of this paper and providing a new proof of Theorem 3.1 by means of the logarithmic techniques. We would also like to thank the referee for giving many useful comments, which make this paper more readable.

## 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** Let $W_2(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors of length two of $k$. Then $W_2(k)$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$, and $W_2(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p = k$. The following definition [EV92, Definition 8.11] generalizes the definition [DI87, 1.6] of liftings of $k$-schemes over $W_2(k)$.

Let $X$ be a noetherian scheme over $k$, and $D = \sum D_i$ a reduced Cartier divisor on $X$. A lifting of $(X, D)$ over $W_2(k)$ consists of a scheme $\widetilde{X}$ and closed subschemes $\widetilde{D}_i \subset \widetilde{X}$, all defined and flat over $W_2(k)$ such that $X = \widetilde{X} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} W_2(k)} \operatorname{Spec} k$ and $D_i = \widetilde{D}_i \times_{\operatorname{Spec} W_2(k)} \operatorname{Spec} k$. We write $\widetilde{D} = \sum \widetilde{D}_i$ and say that $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{D})$ is a lifting of $(X, D)$ over $W_2(k)$, if no confusion is likely.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible sheaf on $X$. A lifting of $(X, \mathcal{L})$ consists of a lifting $\widetilde{X}$ of $X$ over $W_2(k)$ and an invertible sheaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\widetilde{X}$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}|_X = \mathcal{L}$. For simplicity, we say that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is a lifting of $\mathcal{L}$ on $\widetilde{X}$, if no confusion is likely.

Let $\widetilde{X}$ be a lifting of $X$ over $W_2(k)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$ is flat over $W_2(k)$, hence flat over $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$. Note that there is an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$-modules:

$$0 \to p \cdot \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{r} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \to 0,$$

and a $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$-module isomorphism $p : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \to p \cdot \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$. Tensoring the above by $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$, we obtain an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$-modules:

$$0 \to p \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}} \xrightarrow{r} \mathcal{O}_X \to 0, \tag{1}$$

and an $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$-module isomorphism

$$p : \mathcal{O}_X \to p \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}, \tag{2}$$

where $r$ is the reduction modulo $p$ satisfying $p(x) = p\widetilde{x}$, $r(\widetilde{x}) = x$ for $x \in \mathcal{O}_X$, $\widetilde{x} \in \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$.

**Definition 2.2.** Let $X$ be a smooth scheme over $k$. $X$ is said to be strongly liftable over $W_2(k)$, if there is a lifting $\widetilde{X}$ of $X$ over $W_2(k)$, such that for any prime divisor $D$ on $X$, $(X, D)$ has a lifting $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{D})$ over $W_2(k)$ as in Definition 2.1, where $\widetilde{X}$ is fixed for all liftings $\widetilde{D}$.

Let $X$ be a smooth scheme over $k$, $\widetilde{X}$ a lifting of $X$ over $W_2(k)$, $D$ a prime divisor on $X$ and $\mathcal{L}_D = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ the associated invertible sheaf on $X$. Then there is an exact sequence of abelian sheaves:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{O}^*_{\widetilde{X}} \xrightarrow{r} \mathcal{O}^*_X \to 1, \tag{3}$$

where $q(x) = p(x) + 1$ for $x \in \mathcal{O}_X$, $p : \mathcal{O}_X \to p \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$ is the isomorphism (2) and $r$ is the reduction modulo $p$. The exact sequence (3) gives rise to an exact sequence of cohomology groups:

$$H^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{O}^*_{\widetilde{X}}) \xrightarrow{r} H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*_X) \to H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X). \tag{4}$$

If $r : H^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{O}^*_{\widetilde{X}}) \to H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*_X)$ is surjective, then $\mathcal{L}_D$ has a lifting $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_D$. We combine (1) and (2) to obtain an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$-modules:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}} \xrightarrow{r} \mathcal{O}_X \to 0. \tag{5}$$

Tensoring (5) by $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_D$, we have an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$-modules:

$$0 \to \mathcal{L}_D \xrightarrow{p} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_D \xrightarrow{r} \mathcal{L}_D \to 0,$$

which gives rise to an exact sequence of cohomology groups:

$$H^0(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_D) \xrightarrow{r} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_D) \to H^1(X, \mathcal{L}_D). \tag{6}$$

There is a criterion for strong liftability over $W_2(k)$ [Xie11, Proposition 2.5].

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let $X$ be a smooth scheme over $k$, and $\widetilde{X}$ a lifting of $X$ over $W_2(k)$. If for any prime divisor $D$ on $X$, there is a lifting $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_D$ of $\mathcal{L}_D = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ on $\widetilde{X}$ such that the natural map $r : H^0(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_D) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_D)$ is surjective, then $X$ is strongly liftable over $W_2(k)$.*

## 3 Kummer covering trick over $W_2(k)$

The Kummer covering trick [Ka81, Theorem 17], due to Kawamata, is a powerful technique in birational geometry of algebraic varieties. The following theorem, i.e. the Kummer covering trick over $W_2(k)$, is the main result in this section.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety strongly liftable over $W_2(k)$, $D$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ such that the fractional part $\langle D\rangle = \sum_{i\in I} \dfrac{a_i}{b_i} D_i$ satisfies that $0 < a_i < b_i$, $(a_i, b_i) = 1$ and $p \nmid b_i$ for all $i \in I$, and $\sum_{i\in I} D_i$ is simple normal crossing. Then there exists a finite Galois morphism $\tau : Y \to X$ from a smooth projective variety $Y$ with Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K(Y)/K(X))$ which satisfies the following conditions:*

*(i) $\tau^* D$ becomes an integral divisor on $Y$;*

*(ii) $\mathcal{O}_X([D]) \cong (\tau_*\mathcal{O}_Y(\tau^*D))^G$, $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \ulcorner D\urcorner) \cong (\tau_*\mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + \tau^*D))^G$, where $G$ acts naturally on $\tau_*\mathcal{O}_Y(\tau^*D)$ and on $\tau_*\mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + \tau^*D)$. Via these isomorphisms, $\mathcal{O}_X([D])$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \ulcorner D\urcorner)$) turns out to be a direct summand of $\tau_*\mathcal{O}_Y(\tau^*D)$ (resp. $\tau_*\mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + \tau^*D)$); and*

*(iii) $Y$ is liftable over $W_2(k)$.*

We shall prove the Kummer covering trick and reprove the cyclic cover trick over $W_2(k)$ by means of the logarithmic techniques developed by K. Fujiwara, K. Kato and C. Nakayama. For the necessary definitions and results for the logarithmic theory, e.g. log structure, fs log scheme, log étale morphism, Kummer étale cover, log regular and so on, we refer the reader to [Ka89, Ka94], [Na97] and [Il02]. It should be mentioned that almost all of the arguments in this section are essentially due to L. Illusie.

First of all, we recall [Il02, Theorem 7.6] as follows.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let $X$ be a noetherian, log regular fs log scheme, with open subset of triviality of the log structure $U$, and let $D = X - U$. Let $\mathrm{Kcov}(X)$ denote the category of finite Kummer étale covers of $X$, and $\mathrm{Etcovtame}(U)$ the category of classical finite étale covers of $U$ which are tamely ramified along $D$. Then the restriction functor*

$$\mathrm{Kcov}(X) \to \mathrm{Etcovtame}(U) \tag{7}$$

*is an equivalence of categories.*

In this section, we always focus on a particular case where $X$ is a regular scheme, whose log structure is defined by a simple normal crossing divisor $D = \sum_{i\in I} D_i$, then Theorem 3.2 is a simple application of a lemma of Abhyankar. In this case, a quasi-inverse to (7) is obtained by associating to a classical finite étale cover $V$ of $U$, tamely ramified along $D$, the normalization $Y$ of $X$ in $V$.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let $X \subset \widetilde{X}$ be an exact closed immersion of fs log schemes, given by an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ of square zero. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a log étale morphism of fs log schemes. Then there exists a log étale morphism $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$, which is a lifting of $f : Y \to X$ and is unique up to a unique isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let $\omega = \omega^1_{Y/X}$ be the relative sheaf of log differentials of $f$, and $\omega^\vee$ the dual sheaf of $\omega$. By [Ka89, Proposition 3.14], there is an obstruction in $H^2(Y, \omega^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I})$ to the existence of such a lifting, moreover isomorphism classes of liftings form an affine space under $H^1(Y, \omega^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I})$ and the automorphism group of a lifting is $H^0(Y, \omega^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I})$. By assumption, $f : Y \to X$ is log étale, hence $\omega = 0$, which implies that the above three cohomology groups are all zero. ☐

**Lemma 3.4.** *With notation and assumptions as in Theorem 3.3, if furthermore $f : Y \to X$ is a finite Kummer étale cover, then so is $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$.*

*Proof.* Locally for the étale topology, any Kummer étale cover is isomorphic to a standard Kummer étale cover (cf. [St02, 3.1.4]). Therefore, étale locally around a geometric point $x$ of $X$, there is a chart $P \to M_X$ and a Kummer morphism $P \to Q$ of fs monoids with $Q^{gp}/P^{gp}$ annihilated by an integer $n$ invertible on $X$, such that $f : Y \to X$ is the fs pullback of the morphism $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[Q] \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[P]$ (cf. [Il02, Proposition 3.2 and Definition 3.5]):

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"'] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[Q] \arrow[d] \\ X \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[P]. \end{tikzcd}$$

Since $X \subset \widetilde{X}$ is an exact closed immersion and the lifting $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$ is unique, there is a lifting $P \to M_{\widetilde{X}}$ such that $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$ is the fs pullback of the same morphism by the lifted chart $\widetilde{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[P]$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \widetilde{Y} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{f}"'] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[Q] \arrow[d] \\ \widetilde{X} \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[P]. \end{tikzcd}$$

Thus $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$ is also a finite Kummer étale cover. ☐

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let $X$ be a regular scheme, and $\mathcal{L}$ an invertible sheaf on $X$. Let $n$ be a positive integer prime to $p$, and $\sum_{i \in I} D_i$ a simple normal crossing divisor on $X$ such that $\mathcal{L}^n \cong \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_{i \in I} a_i D_i)$ for some integers $a_i > 0$. Then the associated cyclic cover $f : Y \to X$ is a finite Kummer étale cover with Galois group $\mu_n$.*

*Proof.* Étale locally around a geometric point $x$ of $X$, $f : Y \to X$ is given by a cartesian square of fs log schemes:

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"'] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[Q] \arrow[d] \\ X \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}^r], \end{tikzcd}$$

where the lower morphism is induced by a chart $\mathbb{N}^r \to M_X$ sending $e_i \in \mathbb{N}^r$ to $t_i$, where $(t_i)_{i\in J}$ is a set of local equations of the branches of $\sum_{i\in I} D_i$ passing through $x$, and $Q$ is given by a cocartesian square of fs monoids:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{N} \arrow[r] & Q \\
\mathbb{N} \arrow[u, "n\cdot"] \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & \mathbb{N}^r, \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\sigma(1) = (a_i)_{i\in J}$, i.e. $Q$ is the saturation of the amalgamated sum of $l \mapsto nl$ and $\sigma$. Indeed, denote by $f' : Y' \to X$ the fs pullback of $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[Q] \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}^r]$ by the morphism $X \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}^r]$. Then $f' : Y' \to X$ is a Kummer étale cover by [Il02, Proposition 3.2]. Since $X$ is log regular and $f' : Y' \to X$ is log étale, $Y'$ is also log regular, hence is normal by [Il02, 7.3(c,e)]. Over the complement of $\sum_{i\in I} D_i$, $Y'$ is the classical $\mu_n$-étale cover defined by the equation $z^n = \prod_{i\in J} t_i^{a_i}$, so $Y'$ is just the normalization of $X$ in this classical étale cover, which coincides with the definition of the cyclic cover $Y$ (cf. [EV92, Chapter 3]). ☐

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let $X$ be a smooth scheme over $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$, and $\mathcal{L}$ an invertible sheaf on $X$. Let $n$ be a positive integer prime to $p$, and $\sum_{i\in I} D_i$ a simple normal crossing divisor on $X$ such that $\mathcal{L}^n \cong \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_{i\in I} a_i D_i)$ for some integers $a_i > 0$. Assume that $(X, \sum_{i\in I} D_i)$ has a lifting $(\widetilde{X}, \sum_{i\in I} \widetilde{D}_i)$ over $\widetilde{S} = \operatorname{Spec} W_2(k)$. Then the associated cyclic cover $f : Y \to X$ is liftable over $\widetilde{S}$.*

*Proof.* Put the trivial log structure on both $S$ and $\widetilde{S}$, and put the log structure $M_{\widetilde{X}}$ on $\widetilde{X}$ given by the family $\{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(\widetilde{D}_i), i \in I\}$ (cf. [Ka89, Complement 1]). Then $\widetilde{X}/\widetilde{S}$ is a log smooth lifting of $X/S$, having étale locally a chart $\mathbb{N}^r \to M_{\widetilde{X}}$, whose composition with $M_{\widetilde{X}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$ sends $e_i \in \mathbb{N}^r$ to a local equation $\widetilde{t}_i$ of the lifting $\widetilde{D}_i$ of $D_i$. By Theorem 3.3, Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, $f : Y \to X$ is a finite Kummer étale cover, hence globally lifts to a finite Kummer étale cover $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$, which étale locally on $\widetilde{X}$ is a standard Kummer étale cover modeled on $\mathbb{N}^r \to Q$ as in Lemma 3.5. $\widetilde{X}$ is log smooth over $\widetilde{S}$ and $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$ is log étale, hence $\widetilde{Y}$ is log smooth over $\widetilde{S}$, in particular is flat (as a scheme) over $\widetilde{S}$. Thus $\widetilde{Y}$ is a lifting of $Y$ over $\widetilde{S}$. ☐

From now on, we return to the proof of Theorem 3.1 and first give a similar construction to that in [KMM87, Theorem 1-1-1]. Let $d = \dim X$. Take a positive integer $m$ such that $m\langle D\rangle$ is integral, $p \nmid m$ and $m$ is sufficiently large if necessary. Take a very ample divisor $M$ on $X$ such that $mM - D_i$ is also very ample for all $i \in I$. Take general members $H_k^{(i)} \in |mM - D_i|$ for all $i \in I$ and $1 \le k \le d$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}\langle D\rangle \cup \operatorname{Supp}(\Sigma_{i,k} H_k^{(i)})$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. Let $X = \cup_{\alpha\in A} U_\alpha$ be an affine open cover of $X$ which trivializes $M$, $\{a_{\alpha\beta} \in H^0(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, \mathcal{O}_X^*)\}$ the transition function of $M$, and $\{\varphi_{k\alpha}^{(i)} \in H^0(U_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X)\}$ local sections such that

$$(H_k^{(i)} + D_i)|_{U_\alpha} = \operatorname{div}(\varphi_{k\alpha}^{(i)}) \text{ on } U_\alpha \text{ and } \varphi_{k\alpha}^{(i)} = a_{\alpha\beta}^m \varphi_{k\beta}^{(i)} \text{ on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta.$$

Note that $K(X)\big[(\varphi_{k\alpha}^{(i)})^{1/m}\big]_{i,k} = K(X)\big[(\varphi_{k\beta}^{(i)})^{1/m}\big]_{i,k}$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in A$, so we can take the normalization of $X$ in $K(X)\big[(\varphi_{k\alpha}^{(i)})^{1/m}\big]_{i,k}$ for some $\alpha \in A$, which is denoted by

$Y$. Since $K(Y)/K(X)$ is a Kummer extension, the induced morphism $\tau : Y \to X$ is a finite Galois morphism.

Take any closed point $x \in U_\alpha$ and set $I_x = \{i \in I \,|\, x \in D_i\}$. Then for each $i \in I_x$, there exists $1 \le k_i \le d$ such that $x \notin H^{(i)}_{k_i}$. Put

$$R_x = \{\varphi^{(i)}_{k_i\alpha} \,|\, i \in I_x\} \cup \{\varphi^{(i)}_{k\alpha}/\varphi^{(i)}_{k_i\alpha} \,|\, i \in I_x, x \in H^{(i)}_k\} \cup \{\varphi^{(i)}_{k\alpha} \,|\, i \in I \setminus I_x, x \in H^{(i)}_k\}.$$

Then the equations in $R_x$ form a part of a regular system of parameters of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Put

$$T_x = \{\varphi^{(i)}_{k\alpha}/\varphi^{(i)}_{k_i\alpha} \,|\, i \in I_x, x \notin H^{(i)}_k\} \cup \{\varphi^{(i)}_{k\alpha} \,|\, i \in I \setminus I_x, x \notin H^{(i)}_k\}.$$

Then any equation $\psi \in T_x$ is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Since $p \nmid m$, by the same argument as in [KMM87, Lemma 1-1-2], we can prove that $Y$ is nonsingular, hence is smooth over $k$.

**Lemma 3.7.** *The induced morphism $\tau : Y \to X$ is a finite Kummer étale cover.*

*Proof.* Put the log structure on $X$ defined by the simple normal crossing divisor $\mathrm{Supp}\langle D\rangle \cup \mathrm{Supp}(\Sigma_{i,k} H^{(i)}_k)$. Let $t_i$ and $s_{i,k}$ ($i \in I, 1 \le k \le d$) be the local parameters of $D_i$ and $H^{(i)}_k$ respectively. Étale locally around a geometric point $x$ of $X$, $\tau : Y \to X$ is given by a cartesian square of fs log schemes:

$$\begin{tikzcd} Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\tau"'] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[Q] \arrow[d] \\ X \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}^r], \end{tikzcd}$$

where the lower morphism is induced by a chart $\mathbb{N}^r \to M_X$ sending $e_i \in \mathbb{N}^r$ to $t_i s_{i,k_i}$, $s_{i,k}/s_{i,k_i}$ and $t_i s_{i,k}$ respectively, which are described as in $R_x$, and $Q$ is given by a cocartesian square of fs monoids:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{N} \arrow[r] & Q \\ \mathbb{N} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[u, "m\cdot"] & \mathbb{N}^r, \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\sigma(1) = (1, \cdots, 1)$, i.e. $Q$ is the saturation of the amalgamated sum of $l \mapsto ml$ and $\sigma$. Indeed, denote by $\tau' : Y' \to X$ the fs pullback of $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[Q] \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}^r]$ by the morphism $X \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}^r]$. Then $\tau' : Y' \to X$ is a Kummer étale cover by [Il02, Proposition 3.2]. Since $X$ is log regular and $\tau' : Y' \to X$ is log étale, $Y'$ is also log regular, hence is normal by [Il02, 7.3(c,e)]. Over the complement of $\mathrm{Supp}\langle D\rangle \cup \mathrm{Supp}(\Sigma_{i,k} H^{(i)}_k)$, $Y'$ is the classical étale cover defined by the equations $z^m_{i,k} = t_i s_{i,k}$ ($i \in I, 1 \le k \le d$), so $Y'$ is just the normalization of $X$ in this classical étale cover, which coincides with the construction of $Y$. □

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Let $\widetilde{X}$ be a lifting of $X$ over $W_2(k)$ as in Definition 2.2, $\widetilde{D}_i$ liftings of $D_i$ and $\widetilde{H}^{(i)}_k$ liftings of $H^{(i)}_k$ for all $i \in I$ and $1 \le k \le d$. Put the trivial log structure on both $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$ and $\widetilde{S} = \operatorname{Spec} W_2(k)$, and put the log structure $M_{\widetilde{X}}$ on $\widetilde{X}$ given by the family $\{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(\widetilde{D}_i), \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(\widetilde{H}^{(i)}_k), i \in I, 1 \le k \le d\}$ (cf. [Ka89, Complement 1]). Then $\widetilde{X}/\widetilde{S}$ is a log smooth lifting of $X/S$. By Theorem 3.3, Lemmas 3.4 and 3.7,

$\tau : Y \to X$ is a finite Kummer étale cover, hence globally lifts to a finite Kummer étale cover $\widetilde{\tau} : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$, which étale locally on $\widetilde{X}$ is a standard Kummer étale cover modeled on $\mathbb{N}^r \to Q$ as in Lemma 3.7. $\widetilde{X}$ is log smooth over $\widetilde{S}$ and $\widetilde{\tau} : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{X}$ is log étale, hence $\widetilde{Y}$ is log smooth over $\widetilde{S}$, in particular is flat (as a scheme) over $\widetilde{S}$. Thus $\widetilde{Y}$ is a lifting of $Y$ over $\widetilde{S}$, which proves the condition (iii). As for the conditions (i) and (ii), the proof is similar to that in [KMM87, Theorem 1-1-1]. □

*Remark* 3.8. The most difficult part in Theorem 3.1 is to prove that $Y$ is liftable over $W_2(k)$. The variety $Y$ was constructed affine locally and defined to be the normalization of $X$ in a certain Kummer extension. In fact, liftings $\widetilde{Y}$ of $Y$ can be easily and naturally constructed on affine pieces, and it is a naive method to glue these liftings together to get a global lifting $\widetilde{Y}$ of $Y$. However, we encountered many difficulties in this way, and finally, we had to use the logarithmic techniques to yield the required global lifting $\widetilde{Y}$ of $Y$ in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

By means of the Kummer covering trick over $W_2(k)$, we can construct a large class of liftable smooth projective varieties from strongly liftable schemes. On the other hand, the following corollary, i.e. the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem [Ka82, Vi82] on strongly liftable schemes, is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1. It should be mentioned that Corollary 3.9 has already been proved in [Ha98] and [MO05] by different methods.

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let $X$ be a strongly liftable smooth projective variety of dimension $d$, and $D$ an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ such that* $\mathrm{Supp}\langle D\rangle$ *is simple normal crossing. Then we have $H^i(X, K_X + \ulcorner D \urcorner) = 0$ for any $i > d - \inf(d, p)$.*

*Proof.* We write $\langle D\rangle = \sum_{i\in I} \dfrac{a_i}{b_i} D_i$ such that $0 < a_i < b_i$, $(a_i, b_i) = 1$ for all $i \in I$, and $\sum_{i\in I} D_i$ is simple normal crossing. Since ampleness is an open condition, we can change the coefficients $a_i/b_i$ slightly such that $D$ is still ample, and $p \nmid b_i$ for all $i \in I$, whereas $\ulcorner D \urcorner$ is unchanged.

Let $\tau : Y \to X$ be a Kummer covering associated to $D$ as in Theorem 3.1. Then $Y$ is a smooth projective variety which is liftable over $W_2(k)$. By the Kodaira vanishing theorem [DI87, Corollaire 2.8], we have $H^i(Y, K_Y + \tau^* D) = 0$ for any $i > d - \inf(d, p)$. By Theorem 3.1(ii), there is an injection $H^i(X, K_X + \ulcorner D \urcorner) \hookrightarrow H^i(X, \tau_* \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + \tau^* D)) = H^i(Y, K_Y + \tau^* D)$, hence $H^i(X, K_X + \ulcorner D \urcorner) = 0$ holds for any $i > d - \inf(d, p)$. □

## 4 Strongly liftable schemes over $W(k)$

In this section, we shall generalize almost all of the results in [Xie10, Xie11] to the case where everything is considered over $W(k)$, the ring of Witt vectors of $k$. First of all, we give some definitions and preliminary results.

**Definition 4.1.** Denote $W(k)$ the ring of Witt vectors of $k$. The underlying set of $W(k)$ is just $k^{\mathbb{N}}$, so a Witt vector is written as $a = (a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n, \cdots)$, where $a_i \in k$ are called the coordinates of $a$. For $a, b \in W(k)$, the addition and the multiplication of $a$ and $b$ in $W(k)$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a + b &= (S_0(a,b), S_1(a,b), \cdots, S_n(a,b), \cdots), \\ a \cdot b &= (P_0(a,b), P_1(a,b), \cdots, P_n(a,b), \cdots), \end{aligned}$$

where $S_n$ and $P_n$ are certain polynomials with integral coefficients in the coordinates of $a$ and $b$ with indices $\leq n$:

$$\begin{aligned} S_0(a,b) &= a_0+b_0,\ S_1(a,b)=a_1+b_1-\sum_{0<i<p}\frac{1}{p}\binom{p}{i}a_0^ib_0^{p-i},\cdots, \\ P_0(a,b) &= a_0b_0,\ P_1(a,b)=a_0^pb_1+b_0^pa_1,\cdots. \end{aligned}$$

The unit element of $W(k)$ is the vector $(1,0,\cdots,0,\cdots)$.

Let $n\geq 1$ be an integer. The set $k^n$, with the addition (resp. multiplication) defined by the polynomials $S_i$ (resp. $P_i$) for $0\leq i\leq n-1$, is a quotient ring of $W(k)$, denoted by $W_n(k)$, called the ring of Witt vectors of length $n$ of $k$. We have that $W_1(k)=k$ and $W(k)=\varprojlim W_n(k)$ is the inverse limit of $W_n(k)$ under the following surjective homomorphisms of rings, which are called restriction maps:

$$R: W_{n+1}(k)\to W_n(k),\ (a_0,a_1,\cdots,a_n)\mapsto(a_0,a_1,\cdots,a_{n-1}).$$

There is a homomorphism of $W(k)$-modules, which is called a shift map:

$$V: W(k)\to W(k),\ (a_0,a_1,\cdots,a_n,\cdots)\mapsto(0,a_0,\cdots,a_{n-1},\cdots).$$

By passage to the quotient, we have a homomorphism of $W_{n+1}(k)$-modules:

$$V: W_n(k)\to W_{n+1}(k),\ (a_0,a_1,\cdots,a_{n-1})\mapsto(0,a_0,\cdots,a_{n-1}).$$

We have the following exact sequences for any $n,m\geq 1$:

$$0\to W(k)\xrightarrow{V^n}W(k)\xrightarrow{r}W_n(k)\to 0, \tag{8}$$

$$0\to W_m(k)\xrightarrow{V^n}W_{n+m}(k)\xrightarrow{R^m}W_n(k)\to 0. \tag{9}$$

We refer the reader to [Se79, II, §6] for more details.

Next, we will give some definitions of liftings over $W_*(k)$, which generalize those notions as in Definition 2.1, where $*=$ null or $n\geq 2$ unless otherwise stated.

**Definition 4.2.** Let $X$ be a noetherian scheme over $k$, and $D=\sum D_i$ a reduced Cartier divisor on $X$. A lifting of $(X,D)$ over $W_*(k)$ consists of a scheme $\widetilde{X}$ and closed subschemes $\widetilde{D}_i\subset\widetilde{X}$, all defined and flat over $W_*(k)$, such that $X=\widetilde{X}\times_{\operatorname{Spec}W_*(k)}\operatorname{Spec}k$ and $D_i=\widetilde{D}_i\times_{\operatorname{Spec}W_*(k)}\operatorname{Spec}k$. We write $\widetilde{D}=\sum\widetilde{D}_i$ and say that $(\widetilde{X},\widetilde{D})$ is a lifting of $(X,D)$ over $W_*(k)$, if no confusion is likely.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible sheaf on $X$. A lifting of $(X,\mathcal{L})$ over $W_*(k)$ consists of a lifting $\widetilde{X}$ of $X$ over $W_*(k)$ and an invertible sheaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\widetilde{X}$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}|_X=\mathcal{L}$. For simplicity, we say that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is a lifting of $\mathcal{L}$ on $\widetilde{X}$, if no confusion is likely.

Let $X$ be a smooth scheme over $k$. We say that $\widetilde{X}$ is a smooth lifting of $X$ over $W_*(k)$, if $\widetilde{X}$ is smooth over $W_*(k)$ and $X=\widetilde{X}\times_{\operatorname{Spec}W_*(k)}\operatorname{Spec}k$.

Assume that $\widetilde{X}$ is a lifting of $X$ over $W(k)$, then $X_n:=\widetilde{X}\times_{\operatorname{Spec}W(k)}\operatorname{Spec}W_n(k)$ is a lifting of $X$ over $W_n(k)$ for any $n\geq 2$. Tensoring (8) and (9) by $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$, we have the following exact sequences for any $n,m\geq 1$:

$$0\to\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}\xrightarrow{V^n}\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}\xrightarrow{r}\mathcal{O}_{X_n}\to 0, \tag{10}$$

$$0\to\mathcal{O}_{X_m}\xrightarrow{V^n}\mathcal{O}_{X_{n+m}}\xrightarrow{R^m}\mathcal{O}_{X_n}\to 0. \tag{11}$$

Note that if everything is considered over $W_2(k)$, then Definition 4.3 coincides with Definition 2.2 by [Xie11, Lemma 2.2].

**Definition 4.3.** Let $X$ be a smooth scheme over $k$. $X$ is said to be strongly liftable over $W_*(k)$, if there is a smooth lifting $\widetilde{X}$ of $X$ over $W_*(k)$, such that for any prime divisor $D$ on $X$, $(X, D)$ has a lifting $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{D})$ over $W_*(k)$ as in Definition 4.2, where $\widetilde{X}$ is fixed for all liftings $\widetilde{D}$.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let $X$ be a smooth scheme over $k$, $D = \sum D_i$ a reduced divisor on $X$, and $Z \subset X$ a closed subscheme smooth over $k$ of codimension $s \geq 2$. Let $\pi : X' \to X$ be the blow-up of $X$ along $Z$ with the exceptional divisor $E$, and $D' = \pi_*^{-1}D$ the strict transform of $D$. If there are schemes $\widetilde{Z} \subset \widetilde{X}$, all defined and smooth over $W_*(k)$, and closed subschemes $\widetilde{D}_i \subset \widetilde{X}$, all defined and flat over $W_*(k)$, such that $\widetilde{X} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} W_*(k)} \operatorname{Spec} k = X$, $\widetilde{Z} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} W_*(k)} \operatorname{Spec} k = Z$ and $\widetilde{D}_i \times_{\operatorname{Spec} W_*(k)} \operatorname{Spec} k = D_i$, then $(X', D' + E)$ has a lifting over $W_*(k)$.*

*Proof.* It is similar to that of [Xie10, Lemma 2.4]. ☐

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let $X$ be a smooth scheme over $k$, and $P \in X$ a closed point. If $\widetilde{X}$ is a smooth lifting of $X$ over $W_*(k)$, then there exists a closed subscheme $\widetilde{P} \subset \widetilde{X}$ such that $\widetilde{P}$ is smooth over $W_*(k)$ and $\widetilde{P} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} W_*(k)} \operatorname{Spec} k = P$.*

*Proof.* Let $X_n$ be the induced lifting from $\widetilde{X}$ of $X$ over $W_n(k)$ for any $n < *$ if $* \neq$ null, or for any $n \geq 2$ if $* =$ null. For simplicity, denote $X_1 = X$ and $P_1 = P$. Assume that there exists a closed subscheme $P_n \subset X_n$ such that $P_n$ is smooth over $W_n(k)$ and $P_n \times_{\operatorname{Spec} W_n(k)} \operatorname{Spec} W_m(k) = P_m$ for any $m \leq n$.

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Spec} W_n(k) \arrow[r, hook, "P_n"] \arrow[d, hook] & X_n \arrow[r, hook] & X_{n+1} \arrow[d, "\eta_{n+1}"] \\
\operatorname{Spec} W_{n+1}(k) \arrow[rru, dashed, "\xi_{n+1}"] \arrow[rr, equal] & & \operatorname{Spec} W_{n+1}(k)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\operatorname{Spec} W_n(k) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} W_{n+1}(k)$ is a closed immersion with ideal sheaf square zero and $\eta_{n+1} : X_{n+1} \to \operatorname{Spec} W_{n+1}(k)$ is smooth, there exists a morphism $\xi_{n+1} : \operatorname{Spec} W_{n+1}(k) \to X_{n+1}$ such that the induced diagrams are commutative. Since $\xi_{n+1}$ is a section of $\eta_{n+1}$, it defines a closed subscheme $P_{n+1} \subset X_{n+1}$ smooth over $W_{n+1}(k)$. By the upper commutativity, we have $P_n = P_{n+1} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} W_{n+1}(k)} \operatorname{Spec} W_n(k)$.

If $* \neq$ null, then the conclusion is proved by induction. If $* =$ null, then we have morphisms $\zeta_n : \operatorname{Spec} W_n(k) \overset{\xi_n}{\to} X_n \hookrightarrow \widetilde{X}$, hence homomorphisms $\zeta_n^* : \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}} \to W_n(k)$ which are compatible for any $n \geq 1$. Thus we obtain an induced homomorphism $\zeta^* = \varprojlim \zeta_n^* : \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}} \to \varprojlim W_n(k) = W(k)$, which defines a closed subscheme $\widetilde{P} \subset \widetilde{X}$ such that $\widetilde{P}$ is smooth over $W(k)$ and $\widetilde{P} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} W(k)} \operatorname{Spec} k = P$. ☐

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let $X$ be a smooth scheme over $k$ with $\dim X \geq 2$, $P \in X$ a closed point, and $\pi : X' \to X$ the blow-up of $X$ along $P$. If $X$ is strongly liftable over $W_*(k)$, then $X'$ is strongly liftable over $W_*(k)$.*

*Proof.* It is similar to that of [Xie10, Proposition 2.6] by using Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5. ☐

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety. If $H^2(X, \mathcal{T}_X) = H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, then $X$ has a smooth lifting over $W(k)$.*

*Proof.* By [Se79, II, Theorem 3], $W(k)$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field $k$, so the conclusion follows from [SGA 1, Exposé III, Théorème 7.3]. ☐

**Theorem 4.8.** *The following varieties are strongly liftable over* $W(k)$*:*

*(i)* $\mathbb{A}^n_k$, $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ *and a smooth projective curve;*

*(ii) a smooth projective variety of Picard number 1 which is a complete intersection in* $\mathbb{P}^n_k$.

*Proof.* It is similar to those of [Xie10, Lemmas 3.1–3.3], whereas for a smooth projective curve, its strong liftability follows from Theorem 4.7 and Lemma 4.5. ☐

Let $X$ be a smooth scheme over $k$, $\widetilde{X}$ a lifting of $X$ over $W(k)$, $\mathcal{L}$ an invertible sheaf on $X$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ a lifting of $\mathcal{L}$ on $\widetilde{X}$. Tensoring (10) by $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \xrightarrow{V} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \xrightarrow{r} \mathcal{L} \to 0.$$

There is a criterion for strong liftability over $W(k)$ similar to Proposition 2.3.

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let* $X$ *be a smooth scheme over* $k$, *and* $\widetilde{X}$ *a smooth lifting of* $X$ *over* $W(k)$*. If for any prime divisor* $D$ *on* $X$*, there is a lifting* $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_D$ *of* $\mathcal{L}_D = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ *on* $\widetilde{X}$ *such that the natural map* $r : H^0(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_D) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_D)$ *is surjective, then* $X$ *is strongly liftable over* $W(k)$*.*

*Proof.* Let $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_D)$ be a section corresponding to the prime divisor $D$. By assumption, there is a section $\widetilde{s} \in H^0(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_D)$ with $r(\widetilde{s}) = s$. Let $\widetilde{D} = \operatorname{div}_0(\widetilde{s})$ be the divisor of zeros of $\widetilde{s}$. Then it is easy to see that $\widetilde{D} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} W(k)} \operatorname{Spec} k = D$. To prove the flatness of $\widetilde{D}$ over $W(k)$, note first that $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{D}}$ is idealwise separated for the maximal ideal of $W(k)$, so by the local criteria of flatness [Ma80, (20.C) Theorem 49], it suffices to prove that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{W(k)}(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{D}}, k) = 0$. Considering the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-\widetilde{D}) \to \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{D}} \to 0,$$

and taking its long exact sequence for $- \otimes_{W(k)} k$, we obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \operatorname{Tor}_1^{W(k)}(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{D}}, k) \to \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-\widetilde{D}) \otimes_{W(k)} k \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_D \to 0. \tag{12}$$

To prove that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{W(k)}(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{D}}, k) = 0$, by (12), it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-\widetilde{D}) \otimes_{W(k)} k = \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$, which is clear from the construction of $\widetilde{D}$. Thus $\widetilde{D}$ is flat over $W(k)$, whence $\widetilde{D}$ is a lifting of $D$ over $W(k)$. ☐

**Theorem 4.10.** *A smooth projective toric variety is strongly liftable over* $W(k)$*.*

*Proof.* It is similar to that of [Xie11, Theorem 3.1], which depends heavily on [Fu93, Page 66, Lemma]. ☐

**Theorem 4.11.** *A smooth projective rational surface is strongly liftable over* $W(k)$*.*

*Proof.* Let $X$ be a smooth projective rational surface over $k$. If $X \cong \mathbb{P}^2_k$, then the conclusion was already proved in Theorem 4.8. If $X \not\cong \mathbb{P}^2_k$, then there is a birational morphism $X \to F_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_k} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_k}(-n))$, which is the composition of a sequence of blow-ups along closed points. Since the Hirzebruch surface $F_n$ is toric, hence is strongly liftable over $W(k)$ by Theorem 4.10. It follows from Proposition 4.6 that $X$ is strongly liftable over $W(k)$. ☐

Finally, we give two results on cyclic covers over $W(k)$.

**Theorem 4.12.** *Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety, and $\mathcal{L}$ an invertible sheaf on $X$. Let $N$ be a positive integer prime to $p$, and $D$ an effective divisor on $X$ with $\mathcal{L}^N = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ and* $\operatorname{Sing}(D_{\mathrm{red}}) = \emptyset$. *Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be the cyclic cover obtained by taking the $N$-th root out of $D$. If $X$ has a lifting $\widetilde{X}$ over $W(k)$, $\mathcal{L}$ has a lifting $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\widetilde{X}$, and $D$ has a lifting $\widetilde{D}$ on $\widetilde{X}$ with $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^N = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(\widetilde{D})$, then $Y$ is a smooth projective scheme liftable over $W(k)$.*

*Proof.* It is similar to that of [Xie11, Theorem 4.1]. ☐

**Corollary 4.13.** *Let $X$ be a smooth projective toric variety, and $\mathcal{L}$ an invertible sheaf on $X$. Let $N$ be a positive integer prime to $p$, and $D$ an effective divisor on $X$ with $\mathcal{L}^N = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ and* $\operatorname{Sing}(D_{\mathrm{red}}) = \emptyset$. *Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be the cyclic cover obtained by taking the $N$-th root out of $D$. Then $Y$ is a smooth projective scheme liftable over $W(k)$.*

*Proof.* It is similar to that of [Xie11, Corollary 4.4]. ☐

By Corollary 4.13, we can obtain a large class of smooth projective varieties liftable over $W(k)$. We give an easy example to illustrate this idea.

*Example* 4.14. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^n_k$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ and $N$ a positive integer such that $n \geq 2$, $(N, p) = 1$ and $N > n + 2$. Let $H$ be a general element in the linear system of $\mathcal{O}_X(N)$. Then $H$ is a smooth irreducible hypersurface of degree $N$ in $X$ with $\mathcal{L}^N = \mathcal{O}_X(H)$. Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be the cyclic cover obtained by taking the $N$-th root out of $H$. Then by Corollary 4.13, $Y$ is a smooth projective variety liftable over $W(k)$. By Hurwitz's formula, we have $K_Y = \pi^*(K_X + \frac{N-1}{N} H)$. Since the degree of $K_X + \frac{N-1}{N} H$ is $N - (n+2) > 0$, $K_Y$ is an ample divisor on $Y$, hence $Y$ is of general type.

# References

[DI87] P. Deligne, L. Illusie, Relèvements modulo $p^2$ et décomposition du complexe de de Rham, *Invent. Math.*, **89** (1987), 247–270.

[EV92] H. Esnault, E. Viehweg, *Lectures on vanishing theorems*, DMV Seminar, vol. **20**, Birkhäuser, 1992.

[Fu93] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. **131**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.

[SGA 1] A. Grothendieck, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondemental*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. **224**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[Ha98] N. Hara, A characterization of rational singularities in terms of injectivity of Frobenius maps, *Amer. J. Math.*, **120** (1998), 981–996.

[Il02] L. Illusie, An overview of the work of K. Fujiwara, K. Kato and C. Nakayama on logarithmic étale cohomology, *Astérisque*, **279** (2002), 271–322.

[Ka89] K. Kato, Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie, in *Algebraic Analysis, Geometry and Number Theory*, Johns Hopkins University Press, 1989, 191–224.

[Ka94] K. Kato, Toric singularities, *Amer. J. Math.*, **116** (1994), 1073–1099

[Ka81] Y. Kawamata, Characterization of abelian varieties, *Compositio Math.*, **43** (1981), 253–276.

[Ka82] Y. Kawamata, A generalization of Kodaira-Ramanujam's vanishing theorem, *Math. Ann.*, **261** (1982), 43–46.

[KMM87] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, K. Matsuki, Introduction to the minimal model problem, Alg. Geom. Sendai 1985, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **10** (1987), 283–360.

[MO05] K. Matsuki, M. Olsson, Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing as Kodaira vanishing for stacks, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **12** (2005), 207–217.

[Ma80] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, Benjamin, 1980.

[Na97] C. Nakayama, Logarithmic étale cohomology, *Math. Ann.*, **308** (1997), 365–404.

[Se79] J.-P. Serre, *Local fields*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

[St02] J. Stix, Projective anabelian curves in positive characteristic and descent theory for log-étale covers, *Bonner Mathematische Schriften*, **354** (2002).

[Vi82] E. Viehweg, Vanishing theorems, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **335** (1982), 1–8.

[Xie10] Q. Xie, Strongly liftable schemes and the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing in positive characteristic, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **17** (2010), 563–572.

[Xie11] Q. Xie, Strongly liftable schemes and the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing in positive characteristic II, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **18** (2011), 315–328.

Qihong Xie
School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
*E-mail address*: `qhxie@fudan.edu.cn`

Jian Wu
School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
*E-mail address*: `10210180021@fudan.edu.cn`